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PARTNERSHIP DEFINED

• Two or more organizations that commit to working together, develop a shared purpose and agenda, and work collaboratively towards established goals [Health Board of Scotland (2001)].

• An undertaking to do something together... a relationship that consists of shared and/or compatible objectives and an acknowledged distribution of specific roles and responsibilities among participants [Skage (1996)]
A wide range of inter-organizational collaborations where information and resources are shared and exchanged to produce outcomes that each partner would not achieve working alone. [Waddell and Brown (1997)]
SUCCESS INDICATORS OF PARTNERSHIP

- Partnerships depend on high levels of commitment, mutual trust, common goals, and equal ownership (Stern & Green, 2005)
FISHBAUGH’S (1997) EIGHT STAGES OF COLLABORATION DEVELOPMENT

1. Goal setting
2. Data collection
3. Problem identification
4. Alternate solutions
5. Action plan development
6. Action implementation
7. Evaluation
8. Redesign
1. Common goals developed together
2. Mutual trust and respect
3. Development time to strengthen all relationships
4. Quality and commitment
5. Constant interaction at all levels
6. Flexibility with institutional policies and processes
7. Formative evaluation
8. Shared responsibility and accountability
9. Crisp and inclusive communication
## 5 DAC CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CRITERIA</th>
<th>CANNOT EVALUATE SSC GUIDING PRINCIPLES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RELEVANCE</td>
<td>NON-INTERFERENCE IN DOMESTIC AFFAIRS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFFECTIVENESS</td>
<td>MUTUAL BENEFIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFFICIENCY</td>
<td>PARTNERSHIP AMONG EQUALS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMPACT</td>
<td>RESPECT FOR NATIONAL SOVEREIGNTY &amp; OWNERSHIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUSTAINABILITY</td>
<td>NON-CONDITIONALITY</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Some Suggestive Criteria for Evaluation of SSC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria for Evaluation</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Empowerment</td>
<td>of Citizens, Communities and Partner States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust-Building</td>
<td>among Citizens, Communities and Partner States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutual Benefits</td>
<td>Promotes Mutual Benefits of Citizens, Communities and Partner States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact</td>
<td>on Citizens, Communities and Partner States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability</td>
<td>of Social, Political, Human, Natural &amp; Environmental Resources of Partner States</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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